```
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>
<ADDRESS1>
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>
<7.TP>
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>
<ADDRESS1>
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>
<7TP>
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>ECFS - Email Filing
<PROCEEDING>96-45
<DATE>02/08/2005
<NAME>
<ADDRESS1>
<ADDRESS2>
<CITY>
<STATE>
<ZIP>
<LAW-FIRM>
<ATTORNEY>
<FILE-NUMBER>
<DOCUMENT-TYPE> RC
<PHONE-NUMBER>
<DESCRIPTION>
<CONTACT-EMAIL>
<TEXT>I do not want to pay more for my telephone service! I urge
you to reject a flat fee proposal that would change how
contributions are made to the Universal Service Fund. I am
concerned that this proposal could make my current service
unaffordable.
```

ECFS - Email Filing <PROCEEDING>96-45

Under the flat fee proposal you are considering, people who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. In other words, low-volume and primarily residential customers would bear the same universal service fund burden as a high-volume residential or business customers. This is unfair!

I use my cell phone only 40 hours a year and don't want to be taxed as though this were my only phone. I am already paying taxes for my SBC service. I believe we are required to have a conventional phone service when arranging for a prepaid cell phone.

I use my wireless phone for safety, security and convenience. I don't want to lose these benefits so that big businesses can pay less than their fair share. I urge you to reject the proposal to move the USF collection system to a flat-fee.

Keep the USF Fair!

Sincerely,

Geraldine A Rathbun 7205 Allen Rd Carroll, Ohio 43112